

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/626,791	791 07/23/2003		Trung Tri Doan	MI22-2355	1206
21567	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
WELLS ST			ELEY, TIMOTHY V		
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT PAPER N	PAPER NUMBER
				3724	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/626,791	DOAN, TRUNG T	AN, TRUNG TRI				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Timothy V. Eleý	3724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>y.</i> ommunication.				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🖂 🧵	This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	Claim(s) <u>81-110</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) <u>85-87 and 92-94</u> Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>81-84,88-91 and 95-110</u> is/are rejucted to. Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and states.</u>	is/are withdrawn from considerated.	deration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortheoath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachmen		🗖						
2) Notice 2) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/23, 1/15/03, 1/18/04)	Paper No (08) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because "and" (page 11, line 11) should be --an--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 81-84,88-91, and 95-110 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-13,16,27,31, and 42-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,254,928. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one precursor as a liquid. Also, the claims in the instant application appear to broaden the invention

Art Unit: 3724

by eliminating certain limitations recited in the Patent. However, to remove some of the method limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art as long as usable particles may still be formed.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - The cited prior art discloses methods of forming particles.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,791

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Page 4